

METHODOLOGY FOR THE QUANTIFICATION,
MONITORING, REPORTING AND VERIFICATION
OF GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS
REDUCTIONS AND REMOVALS FROM
**ADVANCED REFRIGERATION
SYSTEMS**

VERSION 2.0

January 2018

Public Comment Draft

METHODOLOGY FOR THE QUANTIFICATION, MONITORING, REPORTING AND VERIFICATION OF GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS REDUCTIONS AND REMOVALS FROM ADVANCED REFRIGERATION SYSTEMS

VERSION 2.0

January 2018

American Carbon Registry®

WASHINGTON DC OFFICE

c/o Winrock International
2121 Crystal Drive, Suite 500
Arlington, Virginia 22202 USA
ph +1 703 302 6500

CALIFORNIA OFFICE

800 J Street, Suite 539
Sacramento, California 95814 USA

ACR@winrock.org

americancarbonregistry.org

ABOUT AMERICAN CARBON REGISTRY® (ACR)

A leading carbon offset program founded in 1996 as the first private voluntary GHG registry in the world, ACR operates in the voluntary and regulated carbon markets. ACR has unparalleled experience in the development of environmentally rigorous, science-based offset methodologies as well as operational experience in the oversight of offset project verification, registration, offset issuance and retirement reporting through its online registry system.

© 2017 American Carbon Registry at Winrock International. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, displayed, modified or distributed without express written permission of the American Carbon Registry. The sole permitted use of the publication is for the registration of projects on the American Carbon Registry. For requests to license the publication or any part thereof for a different use, write to the Washington DC address listed above.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This methodology was developed by:



Dentons, U.S., LLC



In cooperation with:



Susan Wood Consulting



True Manufacturing

ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS

If not explicitly defined here, the current definitions in the latest version of the American Carbon Registry (ACR) Standard apply.

TERM	ACRONYM (if applicable)	DEFINITION
Ammonia	NH ₃	A chemical compound composed of nitrogen and hydrogen. Can be used as a low-GWP refrigerant.
Advanced refrigeration system		A refrigeration system deploying advanced technology that uses low-GWP refrigerants instead of CFCs, HCFCs, or HFCs as a refrigerant.
Carbon Dioxide	CO ₂	A chemical compound composed of two oxygen atoms and a single carbon atom. Can be used as a low-GWP refrigerant.
Carbon dioxide equivalent	CO ₂ e	A standard unit of measure to express the impact of each different greenhouse gas in terms of the amount of CO ₂ that would create the same amount of global warming.
Carbon offset credits	Offsets	A carbon offset is a reduction in emissions of carbon dioxide or greenhouse gases made in order to compensate for or to offset an emission made elsewhere.
Cascade Refrigeration System		Similar to a secondary refrigeration system, a cascade system employs dual cycles, and utilizes a heat exchanger and two types of refrigerants. This enables the system to achieve colder temperatures that may not be

ADVANCED REFRIGERATION SYSTEMS

Version 2.0

TERM	ACRONYM (if applicable)	DEFINITION
		achievable through primary or secondary refrigerant systems.
Chlorofluorocarbon	CFC	A class of compounds of carbon, hydrogen, chlorine, and fluorine that are commonly used as refrigerants.
GHG Source, Sink, or Reservoir	SSR	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● GHG Source – Physical unit or process that releases a GHG into the atmosphere ● GHG Sink – Physical unit or process that removes a GHG from the atmosphere ● GHG Reservoir - Physical unit or component of the biosphere, geosphere or hydrosphere with the capability to store or accumulate a GHG removed from the atmosphere by a GHG sink or captured from a GHG source.
Global warming potential	GWP	An index that attempts to integrate the overall climate impacts of a specific action (e.g., emissions of CH ₄ , NO _x or aerosols). It relates the impact of emissions of a gas to that of emission of an equivalent mass of CO ₂ .
Hydrocarbon	HC	A class of compounds containing only hydrogens and carbons (e.g. propane, isobutene, propylene). Certain HCs can be used as low-GWP refrigerants.
Hydrochlorofluorocarbon	HCFC	A class of compounds of carbon, hydrogen, chlorine, and fluorine that are commonly used as refrigerants.
Hydrofluorocarbon	HFC	A class of compounds that contain carbon, fluorine, and hydrogen that are commonly used as refrigerants, as well as solvents,

ADVANCED REFRIGERATION SYSTEMS

Version 2.0

TERM	ACRONYM (if applicable)	DEFINITION
		aerosol propellants, and foam blowing agents.
Hydrofluoroolefins	HFO	A class of compounds composed of hydrogen, fluorine, and carbon. This class of compounds can be used as low-GWP refrigerants. Some HFO refrigerants are comprised of a mix of HFOs, referred to as an HFO blend.
HFC Refrigerant		Refrigerant comprised of either a mix of hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) referred to as an “HFC blend”, or a single HFC.
Large Commercial Refrigeration		Equipment used to store and display chilled and frozen goods for commercial sale such as in food retailers, supermarkets, convenience stores, bakeries, and restaurants. This equipment includes centralized supermarket systems, and remote condensing units and does not include stand-alone commercial refrigeration units.
Project activity		Projects that avoid the emissions of CFC, HCFC, or HFC gases through the deployment of an advanced refrigeration system using a SNAP approved low-GWP refrigerant in Large Commercial Refrigeration or Stand-alone Commercial Refrigeration systems. See Applicability Conditions in Section 1.2.
Project Start Date		The date that the advanced refrigeration system(s) became operational and, therefore began to reduce GHG emissions against the baseline.

ADVANCED REFRIGERATION SYSTEMS

Version 2.0

TERM	ACRONYM (if applicable)	DEFINITION
Refrigeration equipment		An Appliance ¹ , or component parts of a system, that uses refrigerant to provide cooling under controlled conditions.
Secondary Loop Refrigeration System		An advanced refrigeration system where a heat transfer medium (e.g. glycol) is used in conjunction with a primary refrigerant.
Significant New Alternative Policy	SNAP	The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) SNAP program implements section 612 of the amended Clean Air Act of 1990, which requires EPA's continuous review of alternatives to find those that pose less overall risk to human health and the environment. Through these evaluations, SNAP generates lists of acceptable and unacceptable substitutes for each of the major industrial use sectors. The intended effect of the SNAP program is to promote a smooth transition to safer alternatives.
Stand Alone Commercial Refrigeration		Refrigerators, freezers, reach-in coolers (either open or with doors), and refrigerated food processing and dispensing equipment where all refrigeration components are integrated, and, for the smallest types, the refrigeration circuit is entirely brazed or welded. These systems are fully charged with refrigerant at the factory and typically require only an electricity supply to begin operation.

¹ Per 40 CFR §82.3, an "Appliance" is defined as "any device which contains and uses a refrigerant, and which is used for household or commercial purposes, including, without limitation, any refrigerator, chiller, or freezer."

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	4
ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS	5
CONTENTS	9
1 BACKGROUND AND APPLICABILITY	11
1.1 SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODOLOGY.....	11
1.2 APPLICABILITY CONDITIONS.....	12
1.3 REPORTING PERIODS.....	13
1.4 CREDITING PERIOD.....	14
1.5 PERIODIC REVIEWS AND REVISIONS.....	14
2 PROJECT BOUNDARIES	15
2.1 GEOGRAPHIC BOUNDARY.....	15
3 BASELINE DETERMINATION AND ADDITIONALITY	19
3.1 BASELINE DETERMINATION.....	19
3.2 ADDITIONALITY ASSESSMENT.....	22
3.2.1 REGULATORY SURPLUS TEST.....	22
3.2.2 PRACTICE-BASED PERFORMANCE STANDARD.....	23
4 QUANTIFICATION OF GHG EMISSION REDUCTIONS	24
4.1 BASELINE EMISSIONS.....	24
4.2 PROJECT EMISSIONS.....	25
4.3 LEAKAGE.....	26
4.4 PROJECT EMISSION REDUCTIONS.....	26
5 MONITORING AND DATA COLLECTION	27
5.1 DESCRIPTION OF THE MONITORING PLAN.....	27
5.2 DATA COLLECTION AND PARAMETERS TO BE MONITORED.....	27
5.2.1 PARAMETERS MONITORED.....	28
APPENDIX A: PERFORMANCE STANDARD DEVELOPMENT AND BASELINE DATA INPUTS	31
APPENDIX B: REFERENCES	34

FIGURES

Figure 1: Project Boundary Diagram for Advanced Refrigeration Systems 16

TABLES

Table 1: Eligible Refrigerant Sectors and Segments 12
Table 2: Greenhouse Gases and Sources 17

EQUATIONS

Equation 1 22
Equation 2 23
Equation 3 24

1 BACKGROUND AND APPLICABILITY

1.1 SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODOLOGY

Modern society is dependent on refrigeration to process, store and transport food, as well as on air conditioning in the built environment and motor vehicles. Reliable and cost-effective cooling is also critical for other commercial and industrial processes, such as in pharmaceutical and chemical production, oil refining, aerospace and defense technologies, data servers, and ice rinks. These diverse applications typically rely on refrigerants, the chemical coolants that can reach low temperatures and transfer heat by undergoing a phase change between liquid and gas (through condensation).

Up until the mid-1990s, chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) were in widespread use as refrigerants. CFCs destroy the Earth's protective ozone layer and are also powerful greenhouse gases (GHGs). Under the Montreal Protocol and United States Clean Air Act, nearly all CFC production ended in the United States in 1996.² As a result, many applications transitioned to using hydrochlorofluorocarbon (HCFC) refrigerants, which also contribute to ozone depletion and climate change, although to a lesser extent than CFCs. With the phase out of HCFCs currently underway, the most commonly used refrigerants today are hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs). HFCs, while safe for the ozone layer, are also powerful GHGs when released to the atmosphere.

Across the various refrigeration and air conditioning applications, there are a number of approaches that can be used to reduce GHG emissions from both new and installed equipment. This Methodology focuses on reductions from new equipment, as described below.

For limited applications, some businesses are using alternatives to HFC refrigerants with little or no global warming potential (GWP) as they manufacture and install new refrigeration systems. These alternatives include hydrocarbons, ammonia, carbon dioxide, and hydrofluoroolefins (HFOs). In some advanced commercial refrigeration systems, these alternatives completely replace the use of HFC refrigerants, while in other advanced systems these alternatives are used in combination with HFCs. Under this Methodology, secondary loop and cascade refrigeration systems used in supermarkets, for example, which often use HFC refrigerants in combination

² After 1996, the Montreal Protocol authorized limited production of CFCs for "essential uses" as propellants in medical devices (metered dose inhalers relied upon by asthmatics) and for laboratory and analytical uses. Production for essential medical uses ended in the U.S. on January 1, 2012. The exemption for de minimis CFC production essential laboratory and analytical uses remains in effect.

ADVANCED REFRIGERATION SYSTEMS

Version 2.0

with refrigerants that have lower GWPs (such as carbon dioxide) or a heat transfer medium (such as glycol), are eligible within this project activity category.

Table 1: Eligible Refrigerant Sectors and Segments³

Segment	ELIGIBLE SEGMENTS IN SECTOR
Large Commercial Refrigeration	Equipment used to store and display chilled and frozen goods for commercial sale such as in supermarkets, convenience stores, bakeries, and restaurants. This equipment includes centralized supermarket systems, and remote condensing units and does not include stand-alone commercial refrigeration units.
Stand-Alone Commercial Refrigeration	Refrigerators, freezers, reach-in coolers (either open or with doors), and refrigerated food processing and dispensing equipment where all refrigeration components are integrated, and, for the smallest types, the refrigeration circuit is entirely brazed or welded. These systems are fully charged with refrigerant at the factory and typically require only an electricity supply to begin operation.

This Methodology provides the quantification framework for the creation of carbon offset credits from the reductions in GHG emissions resulting from transitioning to advanced refrigeration systems in the eligible sectors. The Methodology is intended to be used as an incentive within the relevant industries to increase these activities.

This Methodology is based on a robust data set, including the United Nations Environment Programme Technical Options Committee for Refrigeration, Air Conditioning and Heat Pumps, the United States EPA Vintaging Model, the United States EPA GreenChill Partnership, and the 2006 International Panel on Climate Change Guidelines for Greenhouse Gas Inventories.

1.2 APPLICABILITY CONDITIONS

Projects that avoid the emissions of CFC, HCFC, or HFC gases in the following activity is considered a “project activity”:

³ For a general discussion of advanced refrigeration systems, see the United States EPA discussion here: <http://www2.epa.gov/greenchill/advanced-refrigeration>

ADVANCED REFRIGERATION SYSTEMS

Version 2.0

- Deployment of an advanced refrigeration system using a SNAP approved low-GWP refrigerant in Large Commercial Refrigeration or Stand-alone Commercial Refrigeration systems.

For purposes of this Methodology, a project activity may comprise (i) the complete replacement of CFC, HCFC or HFC-based equipment (including all components) with an advanced refrigerant system at an existing facility, (ii) the installation of an advanced refrigeration system as a new and additional system at an existing facility, or (iii) the installation of an advanced refrigeration system in new construction.

In addition to satisfying the latest ACR program eligibility requirements as found in the ACR Standard, project activities must satisfy the following conditions for this Methodology to be applicable:

- I. The project is located in North America.
- II. The project is within a sector and segment which has a low adoption rate for the relevant project activity (“Eligible Project Activity” & “Eligible Refrigerant Sector/Segment” (see Table 1)).
- III. For a project activity that involves replacement of CFC, HCFC, or HFC-based equipment with an advanced refrigeration system where the original equipment is decommissioned, any CFCs or HCFCs in the original equipment must be recovered and destroyed in accordance with ACR or the California Air Resource Board ODS Destruction Methodology and any HFCs must be managed in accordance with EPA regulations (40 CFR Part 82, Subpart F) under Section 608 of the Clean Air Act⁴
- IV. Any refrigerant used in the advanced refrigeration system must be an acceptable substitute according to United States EPA Significant New Alternatives Policy (SNAP) program for use in commercial refrigeration end-uses and be used in accordance with SNAP use conditions.⁵

1.3 REPORTING PERIODS

- A project shall have one reporting period that shall not exceed more than 12 months in length.
- The Reporting Period begins on the Project Start Date.

⁴ EPA regulations (40 CFR Part 82, Subpart F) under Section 608 of the Clean Air Act restrict the resale of used ozone depleting and HFC refrigerant to a new owner unless it has been reclaimed by an EPA-certified refrigerant reclaimer. Refrigerant that has been recovered and/or recycled can be returned to the same system or other systems owned by the same person without being reclaimed.

⁵ <http://www.epa.gov/ozone/snap/refrigerants/index.html>

1.4 CREDITING PERIOD

A Crediting Period is the finite length of time for which a GHG Project Plan is valid, and during which a project can generate offsets against its baseline scenario. The crediting period for all project activities shall be ten years. The crediting period begins on the Project Start Date.

1.5 PERIODIC REVIEWS AND REVISIONS

ACR may require revisions to this Methodology to ensure that monitoring, reporting, and verification systems adequately reflect changes in the project's activities. This Methodology may also be periodically updated to reflect regulatory changes, emission factor revisions, or expanded applicability criteria. Before beginning a project, the project proponent should ensure that they are using the latest version of the Methodology.


2 PROJECT BOUNDARIES

2.1 GEOGRAPHIC BOUNDARY

The project boundary, depicted by the figure below, includes the physical and geographical site where the advanced refrigerant system is installed, as well as the locations involved in disposal of the older technology, including management of any previously used HFC or destruction of the CFC or HCFC refrigerant in the older system that is replaced.

Figure 1: Project Boundary Diagram for Advanced Refrigeration Systems

Within the project boundary, included GHG emission sources are from the operation of the refrigeration equipment, including recharging equipment that has leaked. These sources are depicted in the dark blue boxes below. Table 2 lists the GHG sources included and excluded depending on whether the sources are inside or outside project boundaries.



ADVANCED REFRIGERATION SYSTEMS

Version 2.0

Table 2: Greenhouse Gases and Sources

SSR	SOURCE DESCRIPTION	GAS	INCLUDED (I) OR EXCLUDED (E)	QUANTIFICATION METHOD
1 Refrigerant Production	Fossil fuel emissions from the production of refrigerants	CO ₂	E	N/A
		CH ₄	E	N/A
		N ₂ O	E	N/A
	Refrigerant leaks during production	HFC	E	N/A
		Low GWP Refrigerant	E	N/A
2 Refrigerant Transport	Fossil fuel emissions from transport of refrigerants	CO ₂	E	N/A
		CH ₄	E	N/A
		N ₂ O	E	N/A
	Refrigerant leaks during transport	HFC	E	N/A
		Low GWP refrigerant	E	N/A
3 Equipment Manufacture	Fossil fuel emissions from the operation of the refrigeration system in the baseline and the project.	CO ₂	E	N/A
		CH ₄	E	N/A
		N ₂ O	E	N/A
4 Equipment Delivery and Installation	Fossil fuel emissions from the delivery and installation of the advanced refrigeration system.	CO ₂	E	N/A
		CH ₄	E	N/A
		N ₂ O	E	N/A
5 Equipment Operation		CO ₂	E	N/A
		CH ₄	E	N/A

ADVANCED REFRIGERATION SYSTEMS

Version 2.0

SSR	SOURCE DESCRIPTION	GAS	INCLUDED (I) OR EXCLUDED (E)	QUANTIFICATION METHOD
	Fossil fuel emissions from the operation of the refrigeration system in the baseline and the project.	N ₂ O	E	N/A
	Refrigerant leaks from the operation of the refrigeration system in the baseline and the project.	CFC	I	See Methodology
		HCFC	I	See Methodology
		HFC	I	See Methodology
		Low GWP refrigerant	I	See Methodology
6 Equipment Service/Re-charge	Fossil fuel emissions from servicing refrigeration or A/C equipment or system to replace leaked refrigerant	CO ₂	E	N/A
		CH ₄	E	N/A
		N ₂ O	E	N/A
	Refrigerant emissions occurring from servicing refrigeration or A/C equipment or system to replace leaked refrigerant	HFC	E	N/A
		Low GWP Refrigerant	E	N/A
7 Equipment Disposal	Emissions from the disposal of the equipment at end-of-life, including destruction of refrigerant.	CO ₂	E	N/A
		CH ₄	E	N/A
		CFCs	E	N/A
		HCFC	E	N/A
		HFCs	E	N/A

3 BASELINE DETERMINATION AND ADDITIONALITY

3.1 BASELINE DETERMINATION

The baseline for a project activity is determined utilizing industry standards and represents the most commonly used practices and technologies.

Refrigeration systems are “charged” with refrigerant, either at the manufacturing plant, or at the facility where a system is installed, (e.g., a supermarket or other food retailer).

Under normal operating conditions, depending on the type of equipment and the location, between 1 and 50% of the refrigerant in stationary refrigeration systems leaks each year (IPCC/TEAP, 2006; IPCC, 2006; RTOC 2010; EPA, 2014; ACR ODS Destruction Methodology 2017). Even with active leak detection and aggressive maintenance efforts, it is difficult to eliminate leaks completely.⁶ Consequently, to maintain proper performance, leaky equipment and systems require periodic servicing to replace the lost refrigerant.

According to United States EPA, at least 70% of United States food retailers rely on refrigeration systems that are comprised of centralized compressor racks that provide cooling throughout the stores via an extensive network of pipes and valves.⁷ These systems tend to leak during normal operations, at an average rate between 15 and 35% per year (EPA, 2010) releasing refrigerant to the atmosphere therefore they require regular servicing and “re-charging” to maintain required performance.

Today, nearly all refrigeration systems in supermarkets, smaller grocery stores, food retailers, and restaurants use HCFC-22 or HFC refrigerants.⁸ Because HCFC-22 is being phased out in the U.S., the majority of new installations (either new stores or retrofits to existing stores) have relied on HFC blends such as R-404A and R-507A.

To date, the industry has relied on R-22 and more recently R-407A, an HFC blend. In a small number of cases, new supermarkets and food retailers have installed systems with non-HFC refrigerants with zero or low-GWP such as CO₂, HCs, and ammonia (see Table 3).

Table 3: Select Low-GWP Alternatives

⁶ Refrigerants can also be released during equipment servicing or when the system is decommissioned.

⁷ Food distributors also use distributed racks, and supermarkets and smaller grocery and convenience stores use other refrigeration equipment and appliances such as walk-in coolers, stand-alone “coffin cases”, and beverage refrigerators.

⁸ The large refrigeration systems in food warehouses and distribution centers and food manufacturing plants typically use ammonia although high-GWP refrigerants are also used in these facilities.

ADVANCED REFRIGERATION SYSTEMS

Version 2.0

LOW-GWP REFRIGERANT	GLOBAL WARMING POTENTIAL
R-290 (propane)	3.3
R-600a (isobutane)	3
R-1270 (propylene)	1.8
R-744 (CO ₂)	1
R-717 (ammonia)	0

These new systems encompass a wide variety of designs, including use of one refrigerant throughout the entire system, or for example, secondary loop systems where the compressor uses a relatively small charge of HFC refrigerant, and the piping throughout the store is filled with heat exchange fluid such as glycol. As of July 2015, of the more than 37,000 food retail stores in the U.S., eight are certified by EPA’s GreenChill Partnership as having an advanced refrigeration system (see Appendix A).⁹

This Methodology includes scenarios where advanced commercial refrigeration systems are installed in both new facilities and existing facilities. Table 4 lists the default baseline factors in projects involving new commercial refrigeration systems that are installed at new facilities. These default assumptions shall be applied in Equations 1 and 2 of Section 4.1.

⁹ As of July 2015, the GreenChill Program has also awarded 82 United States stores with silver-level certification and 32 stores with gold-level certification, signifying those stores as having an annual storewide average emission rate below 15%, with an average HFC refrigerant charge per MBTU/hr. total evaporator heat load less than 1.75 lbs (silver level) or 1.25 lbs (gold level); www2.epa.gov/greenchill/greenchill-store-certification-awards. GreenChill Partners represent roughly 20% of United States food retailers; for this Methodology, the average leak rate for centralized commercial refrigeration systems in the United States is assumed to be 20%.

ADVANCED REFRIGERATION SYSTEMS

Version 2.0

Table 4: Baseline Default Assumptions for Advanced Refrigeration Projects

SEGMENT	EQUIPMENT	CHARGE SIZE (KG) ¹⁰	BASELINE REFRIGERANT	ANNUAL EMISSION RATE
Large Commercial Refrigeration	Commercial refrigeration, other than stand-alone units	1.16 kgs refrigerant per MBTU/hr of cooling capacity of the new system	R-407-A (GWP = 2,107)	20%
Stand-Alone Commercial Refrigeration	Vertical Closed Refrigerators	1.3	R-404a (50%) and HFC-134a (50%) (GWP = 2,676)	8%
	Vertical Closed Freezers	1.7		
	Vertical Open Refrigerators	1.1		
	Horizontal Open Refrigerator	0.8		
	Horizontal Open Freezer	1.0		
	Deli Cases Refrigerator	0.6		
	Drink Dispensing	0.85		
	Ice Machines	0.85		
	Soft Serve Ice Cream and Frozen Beverages	1.125		
	Food Prep Tables	0.55		

¹⁰ P&S Research provided the market research for charge sizes (see Appendix A, Table 6).

ADVANCED REFRIGERATION SYSTEMS

Version 2.0

SEGMENT	EQUIPMENT	CHARGE SIZE (KG) ¹⁰	BASELINE REFRIGERANT	ANNUAL EMISSION RATE
	Blast Chillers	1.25		

For Large Commercial Refrigeration projects that replace an existing system, the actual refrigerant used in the system that was replaced and the refrigerant charge size of the replaced system shall be used. For these projects, data from regulatory compliance reporting or other verifiable historical operating records shall be used in Equations 1 and 2 of Section 4.1 to establish the appropriate baseline refrigerant, charge size, and annual leak rates.

3.2 ADDITIONALITY ASSESSMENT

Emission reductions from the project must be additional, or deemed not to occur in the “business-as-usual” scenario. Assessment of the additionality of a project will be made based on passing the two tests cited below. These two tests require the project proponent to demonstrate that the project activity is surplus to regulations and reduces emissions below the level established in the Methodology.

- Regulatory Surplus Test, and
- Practice-Based Performance Standard

3.2.1 Regulatory Surplus Test

In order to pass the regulatory surplus test a project must not be mandated by existing laws, regulations, statutes, legal rulings, or other regulatory frameworks in effect as of the project start date that directly or indirectly affect the credited GHG emissions associated with a project. The project proponent must demonstrate that there is no existing regulation that mandates the project or effectively requires the GHG emission reductions associated with installing advanced refrigeration technologies.

There are no requirements in the United States that require installation of advanced refrigeration technology. EPA issued a regulation that would have prohibited the use of several HFC blends, including R404A and R507A – in new commercial refrigeration installations beginning August, 2017, but it was declared invalid by the Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit.¹¹ Therefore, R404A and R507A currently remain available for use.

¹¹ 80 FR 42869; July 20, 2015; Mexichem Fluor Inc. v. Environmental Protection Agency, D.C. Cir No. 15-1328 (August 2017). A rehearing on that decision was denied on January 25, 2018.

3.2.2 Practice-Based Performance Standard

In order for a project to qualify for offset credits under this Methodology it must be demonstrated that the sector has a low market adoption rate for advanced refrigeration technology. A market adoption analysis, and hence the additionality demonstration under Applicability Condition 1.2(II), was conducted for the relevant sectors and segments (see Table 1).

A review of US EPA's GreenChill Partnership program data (see Appendix A) indicate that both large commercial and stand-alone commercial refrigeration have low market adoption rates for advanced refrigeration technology. Therefore, project activities within these sectors qualify for offset credit creation under this Methodology.

4 QUANTIFICATION OF GHG EMISSION REDUCTIONS

Quantification of project emission reductions requires calculation of baseline emissions and project emissions.

4.1 BASELINE EMISSIONS

Other than for Large Commercial Refrigeration projects that are replacing an existing system, Project Proponents shall use the default emission rates, charge rates, and GWP values listed in Table 4.

For Large Commercial Refrigeration projects that are replacing an existing system, project proponents shall use historical system-specific data for refrigerant type, charge rate of system, and annual emission rates. This data can be generated from regulatory compliance reporting and other verifiable, historical operating documentation.

Baseline emissions will be calculated according to the following formula:

Equation 1

$$BE_y = \sum_i [(Q_{BR,j,i} \times ERA_{REF,j} \times 10)] / 1000 \times GWP_{REF,j}$$

WHERE

BE_y	Baseline emissions in year y (MT CO ₂ e)
$Q_{BR,j,i}$	Quantity of refrigerant j in equipment i used in baseline system (Charge Size of equipment in kgs). Other than for Large Commercial Refrigeration projects where an existing system is being replaced, use the Refrigerant Charge Size default values in Table 4. For Large Commercial Refrigeration projects where existing equipment is being replaced, use regulatory compliance reporting or verifiable historical operating records to establish the charge size of the replaced baseline system.

ADVANCED REFRIGERATION SYSTEMS

Version 2.0

$ERA_{REF,j}$	Annual emission rate of refrigerant j in baseline system (%). Other than for Large Commercial Refrigeration projects where an existing system is being replaced, use the Annual Emission Rate default values in Table 4. For Large Commercial refrigeration projects where existing equipment is being replaced, use regulatory compliance reporting or verifiable historical operating records to establish the annual leak rate of the replaced baseline system which shall be based on the average of the previous two years of baseline system operation prior to installation of advanced refrigeration system.
10	Number of years in the crediting period ¹²
$GWP_{REF,j}$	Global warming potential of baseline refrigerant j . Other than for Large Commercial Refrigeration projects where an existing system is being replaced, use the GWP default values in Table 4. For Large Commercial refrigeration projects where existing equipment is being replaced, use regulatory compliance reporting or verifiable historical operating records to establish the type of refrigerant historically used. ¹³

4.2 PROJECT EMISSIONS

Project emissions will be calculated according to the following formula:

Equation 2

$$PE_y = \sum_i [AR_{k,i} \times ERA_{REF,k} \times 10] \div 1000 \times GWP_{REF,k}$$

WHERE

PE_y	Project emissions in year y (MT CO ₂ e)
$AR_{k,i}$	Charge size of alternative refrigerant k used in project system from manufacturer specifications i (kgs) ¹⁴

¹² All offsets for a project over the 10-year crediting period will be issued following verification.

¹³ For installation of a Large Commercial Refrigeration system at an existing facility, project proponents shall use the GWP of the refrigerant used in the system that is replaced. Project proponents shall apply the 100-year value for refrigerant GWPs found in the IPCC Fourth Assessment Report for the historical refrigerant used.

¹⁴ For secondary loop systems, the parameter $AR_{k,i}$ should only include the quantity of primary refrigerant used in the system.

ADVANCED REFRIGERATION SYSTEMS

Version 2.0

$ERA_{REF,k}$	Annual emission rate of alternative refrigerant k set equal to emission rate for baseline system (% per year).
10	Number of years in the crediting period
$GWP_{REF,k}$	Global warming potential of alternative refrigerant k used in the project.

4.3 LEAKAGE

By installing an advanced refrigeration system, a project is not increasing overall market demand for refrigeration systems. Thus, there would be no “market-shifting” associated with this project type. Regarding “activity-shifting” leakage, implementation of a project at an existing facility may result in the recovery of refrigerant used in the system that was replaced. However, per applicability conditions 1.2 (III) and 1.2 (IV) any refrigerant recovered in the old system must be destroyed (if CFC or HCFC) or managed in accordance with EPA regulations (40 CFR Part 82, Subpart F) under Section 608 of the Clean Air Act (if HFC). Thus, for this Methodology, leakage can be disregarded.

4.4 PROJECT EMISSION REDUCTIONS

Equation 3

$$ER_y = [BE_y - PE_y]$$

WHERE

ER_y	Emission reductions in year y (MT CO ₂ e)
BE_y	Baseline emissions in year y (MT CO ₂ e)
PE_y	Project emissions in year y (MT CO ₂ e)

5 MONITORING AND DATA COLLECTION

Each project shall include a monitoring, reporting and verification plan sufficient to meet the requirements of the ACR Standard. The plan shall collect all data required to be monitored and in a manner which meets the requirements for accuracy and precision of this Methodology.

5.1 DESCRIPTION OF THE MONITORING PLAN

These are expanded upon in the sections below. The project proponent must prepare a monitoring plan describing (for each separately) the following: a) project implementation; b) technical description of the monitoring task; c) data to be monitored and collected; d) overview of data collection procedures; e) frequency of the monitoring; f) quality control and quality assurance procedures; g) data archiving; and h) organization and responsibilities of the parties involved in all the above.

The rationale of monitoring project implementation is to document all project activities implemented by the project that could cause an increase in GHG emissions compared to the baseline scenario.

5.2 DATA COLLECTION AND PARAMETERS TO BE MONITORED

The process for monitoring the project's emission reduction parameters includes:

- Identifying and logging the equipment/systems to be installed
- Documentation of the charge size of the alternative refrigerant used in the project from manufacturer specifications.
- For Large Commercial Refrigeration projects that are replacing existing systems, regulatory compliance reporting or verifiable historical operating records to establish the annual leak rate of the replaced baseline system which shall be based on the average of the previous two years of baseline system operation prior to installation of advanced refrigeration system.
- For Large Commercial Refrigeration projects that are replacing CFC or HCFC-based systems, documentation showing proof of destruction for the displaced CFC and HCFC. This documentation shall include the following:

ADVANCED REFRIGERATION SYSTEMS

Version 2.0

- ◆ Bills of lading for shipments of CFC or HCFC from the facility to a destruction facility¹⁵
- ◆ Attestation from project proponent and signed by representatives from the project proponent and the destruction facility that the volume of displaced CFC or HCFC from the baseline system was destroyed including the dates of destruction.
- For Large Commercial Refrigeration projects that are replacing HFC-based systems, documentation showing proof of management in accordance with EPA regulations (40 CFR Part 82, Subpart F) under Section 608 of the Clean Air Act. This documentation shall include the following:
 - ◆ Documentation of the recovery of the HFC (i.e. receipts or invoices documenting recovery by service technician) and subsequent management in accordance with EPA regulations.
 - ◆ Attestation from project proponent that the volume of displaced HFC from the baseline system was managed in accordance with EPA regulations.

5.2.1 Parameters Monitored

PARAMETER	$Q_{BR,j,i}$
UNITS	kg
DESCRIPTION	Quantity of refrigerant <i>j</i> in equipment <i>i</i> used in baseline system (charge size of equipment in kgs). Other than for Large Commercial Refrigeration projects where an existing system is being replaced, use the Refrigerant Charge size default values in Table 4. For Large Commercial Refrigeration projects where existing equipment is being replaced, use regulatory compliance reporting or verifiable historical operating records to establish the charge size of the replaced baseline system.
RELEVANT SECTION	4.1
RELEVANT EQUATION(S)	1
SOURCE OF DATA	Table 4, installation, regulatory compliance, or operating records

¹⁵ Destruction shall be conducted at either an approved hazardous waste combustor subject to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) that maintains a current RCRA permit that states an ODS destruction efficiency of at least 99.99% or at a destruction facility that meets the Montreal Protocol's Technology and Economic Assessment Panel standard that a destruction facility must demonstrate a destruction and removal efficiency of 99.99%.

ADVANCED REFRIGERATION SYSTEMS

Version 2.0

MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	Determined once
PARAMETER	$AR_{k,i}$
UNITS	kg
DESCRIPTION	Quantity of alternative refrigerant k used in project system i
RELEVANT SECTION	4.2
RELEVANT EQUATION(S)	2
SOURCE OF DATA	Manufacturer specifications
MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	Determined once
PARAMETER	ERA_{REFj}
UNITS	% per year
DESCRIPTION	Annual emission rate of refrigerant j in baseline system (%). Other than for Large Commercial Refrigeration projects where an existing system is being replaced, use the Annual Emission Rate default values in Table 4. For Large Commercial refrigeration projects where existing equipment is being replaced, use regulatory compliance reporting or verifiable historical operating records to establish the annual leak rate of the replaced baseline system which shall be based on the average of the previous two years of baseline system operation prior to installation of advanced refrigeration system.
RELEVANT SECTION	4.1

ADVANCED REFRIGERATION SYSTEMS

Version 2.0

RELEVANT EQUATION(S)	1
SOURCE OF DATA	Table 4, installation, regulatory compliance, or operating records
MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	Determined once

PARAMETER	ERA_{REFk}
UNITS	% per year
DESCRIPTION	Annual emission rate of alternative refrigerant k used in project system i
RELEVANT SECTION	4.2
RELEVANT EQUATION(S)	2
SOURCE OF DATA	Set equal to the emission rate of the baseline system.
MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	Determined once

APPENDIX A: PERFORMANCE STANDARD DEVELOPMENT AND BASELINE DATA INPUTS

A.1 Performance Standard Development

Section 3.1 summarizes trends in the adoption of advanced refrigeration systems. A measure of the penetration rate of these newer technologies is the number of food retailers certified by EPA's GreenChill Partnership as having an advanced refrigeration system. Food retailers qualify for GreenChill "platinum" certification by either 1) having a refrigeration system that uses a refrigerant with a GWP less than 150, or 2) having a very small HFC refrigerant charge (less than 0.5 lbs per MBTU/hr total evaporator heat load) and achieving an annual leak rate of 5% or less.¹⁶ As of October 2015, of the more than 37,000 food retailers in the U.S., eight are certified by GreenChill.¹⁷ There may be additional stores in the United States that have advanced refrigeration systems that have not yet been recognized by the EPA GreenChill Partnership. However, under any scenario, currently the percentage of supermarkets and food retailers in the United States with advanced refrigeration systems is negligible.

A.2 Baseline System Data

Table 5 presents average annual emission rates for Large Commercial Refrigeration and Stand-Alone Commercial Refrigeration derived from three sources –the US EPA Vintaging Model, the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National GHG Inventories, and P&S market research. The EPA Vintaging Model outputs are publicly available (ACR, 2017; EPA, 2015). To be conservative, the values from the IPCC Guidelines are the low end of ranges presented in Table 7.9 of that report.

¹⁶ http://www2.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2013-08/documents/greenchill_store_certification_program_guidance_7.29.13.pdf

¹⁷ <http://www2.epa.gov/greenchill/greenchill-store-certification-awards>

ADVANCED REFRIGERATION SYSTEMS

Version 2.0

Table 5: Baseline System Charge Size and Emission Rates

SEGMENT	PRODUCT	CHARGE SIZE (KGS) ¹⁸	BASELINE REFRIGERANT	ANNUAL EMISSION RATE
Large Commercial Refrigeration	Commercial refrigeration, other than stand-alone units	1.16 kgs refrigerant per MBTU/hr of cooling capacity of the new system	R-407-A (GWP = 2,107)	20%
Stand-Alone Commercial Refrigeration	Vertical Closed Refrigerators	1.3	Refrigerant: R-404a (50%) and HFC-134a (50%) (GWP = 2,676)	8%
	Vertical Closed Freezers	1.7		
	Vertical Open Refrigerators	1.1		
	Horizontal Open Refrigerator	0.8		
	Horizontal Open Freezer	1.0		
	Deli Cases Refrigerator	0.6		
	Drink Dispensing	0.85		
	Ice Machines	0.85		
	Soft Serve Ice Cream and Frozen Beverages	1.125		
	Food Prep Tables	0.55		
	Blast Chillers	1.25		

¹⁸ P&S Research provided the market research for stand-alone commercial refrigeration charge sizes (see Table 6)

ADVANCED REFRIGERATION SYSTEMS

Version 2.0

Table 6: P&S Market Survey Data on Charge Rate

PRODUCT	CHARGE RANGE (KGS)
Vertical Closed Refrigerators	1.2 – 1.4
Vertical Closed Freezers	1.5 – 1.9
Vertical Open Refrigerators	1.0 – 1.2
Horizontal Open Refrigerator	0.7 – 0.9
Horizontal Open Freezer	0.9 – 1.1
Deli Cases Refrigerator	0.4 – 0.8
Drink Dispensing	0.7 – 1.0
Ice Machines	0.6– 1.1
Soft Serve Ice Cream and Frozen Beverages	1.05 – 1.2
Food Prep Tables	0.4 – 0.7
Blast Chillers	1.1 – 1.4

APPENDIX B: REFERENCES

American Carbon Registry (2017) Methodology for the Quantification, Monitoring, Reporting, and Verification of Greenhouse Gas Emissions from the Destruction of Ozone Depleting Substances and High-GWP Foam, United States, Version 1.1, September 2017. (ACR, 2017).

EPA (2010) Transitioning to Low-GWP Alternatives in Commercial Refrigeration.

EPA (2010) Amendments to the Section 608 Leak Repair Requirements, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 75 FR 78558 – 78583, December 15, 2010.

EPA (2011) EPA Vintaging Model, Version VM IO file_v4.4_3.23.11, as cited in CAR (2012).

EPA (2012) Summary of refrigerant reclaimed 2012. <http://www.epa.gov/ozone/title6/608/reclamation/recsum.pdf>

EPA (2014) Adjustments to the Allowance System for Controlling HCFC Production, Import and Export, 2015-2019, 79 FR 64253 – 64290, October 28, 2014.

EPA (2015) Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990-2013, Annex 3 Methodological Descriptions for Additional Source or Sink Categories.

ICF (2009) The U.S. Phaseout of HCFCs: Projected Servicing Needs in the Air Conditioning and Refrigeration Sector. Prepared for the U.S. EPA by ICF International.

IPCC (2006) Guidelines for GHG Inventories, Volume 3: Industrial Processes and Product Use; Chapter 7 Emissions of Fluorinated Substitutes for Ozone Depleting Substances.

IPCC (2007) Climate Change 2007, Synthesis Report. International Panel on Climate Change, World Meteorological Organization, United Nations Environment Programme.

RTOC (2010) Refrigeration, Air Conditioning and Heat Pumps Technical Options Committee, 2010 Assessment, United Nations Environment Programme.

P&S Market Research: “Range of Charge Sizes for Self-Contained Refrigeration Units”, December 2016.