

Version 1.3

#### **Errata and Clarifications**

METHODOLOGY FOR THE QUANTIFICATION, MONITORING, REPORTING AND VERIFICATION OF GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSION REDUCTIONS AND REMOVALS FROM IMPROVED FOREST MANAGEMENT (IFM) ON NON-FEDERAL U.S. FORESTLANDS

VERSION 1.3

2024-01-01

This Errata and Clarifications document is supplemental to the ACR Methodology *Improved Forest Management (IFM) on Non-Federal U.S. Forestlands, Version 1.3* ("the Methodology") and applies to all projects registered under the Methodology. Each erratum and clarification contained herein is effective as of its posting date listed below. This document may be updated as supplemental information or clarifications are needed. Project Developers and Verification Bodies shall adhere to the errata and clarifications when implementing projects and conducting verification activities.

#### 1.1 Erratum (In bold)

Section Reference / Effective date	Change
A1. Scope and Definitions / January 1, 2024	Definition of "CO2e". Carbon Dioxide equivalent. The amount of CO2 that would have the same global warming potential (GWP) as other greenhouse gases over a 100-year lifetime using SAR-100 GWP values from the IPCC's fourth assessment report.
	CO2e. Carbon Dioxide equivalent. A metric to compare GHGs based on their global warming potential (GWP) relative to CO2 over the same timeframe. Refer to GWP values specified in the then-current version of the ACR Standard.



Version 1.3

#### A.2 Applicability Conditions / July 27, 2020

- Private or non-governmental organization ownerships subject to commercial harvesting at the project Start Date in the withproject scenario must be certified by FSC, SFI, or ATFS or become certified within one year of the project Start Date. If there are no ongoing harvests at the project Start Date, but harvests occur later in the project life cycle, the project area must become certified before any commercial timber harvesting can occur
- All Tribal Lands in the United States, except those lands that are managed or administered by the Bureau of Indian Affairs, are eligible under this methodology, provided that they meet ACR requirements for Tribal lands
- Public non-federal ownerships currently subject to commercial timber harvesting in the with-project scenario must:
  - Be certified by FSC, SFI, or ATFS or become certified within one year of the project Start date; or
  - Have its forest management plan sanctioned by a senior government official within a state, or a state agency, or a federal agency.
    - Please note that any such forest management plans must be updated at minimum every 10 years
  - If there are no ongoing harvests on a public non-federal ownership at the project start date, but harvests occur later in the project life cycle, the project area must become certified by FSC, SFI, or ATFS, or develop a sanctioned management plan before any commercial timber harvesting can occur

То

- All projects must adhere to the following sustainable management requirements:
  - Private, non-governmental organization and public nonfederal project areas subject to commercial harvesting at the project Start Date in the with-project scenario must adhere to one or a combination of the following requirements:
    - Be certified by FSC, SFI, or ATFS or become certified within one year of the project Start date;
    - Adhere to a long-term forest management plan or program incorporating all their forested landholdings, prescribing the principals of sustained



	pro If the pactivit Date, l projec	eld and natural forest management (plan ogram criteria subject to ACR approval) project is not subject to commercial harve ies within the project area as of the project out harvests occur later in the project life t area must meet the requirements outling commercial timber harvesting may occu	est ect Start cycle, the ned above
A.2 Applicability Conditions / July 27, 2020	are mana are eligibl	Lands in the United States, except those lar ged or administered by the Bureau of India e under this methodology, provided that th rements for Tribal lands	n Affairs,
	<ul> <li>Tribal land conditions relevant A Carbon Re</li> </ul>	s in the United States meeting applicabilits of this methodology and requirements of CR Standard are eligible (also see Americal Carbon Regist of Carbon Project Development on Triba (b.)	of the can ry
C3. Baseline Net Reductions and Removals / July 27, 2020	<ul> <li>The following equations are used to construct the baseline stocking levels using models described in section 3.1 and wood products calculations described in section 3.2:</li> </ul>		
	$\Delta C_{BSL,TREE,t} =$ Where:	= (CBSL,TREE,t - CBSL,TREE,t-1)	(1)
	t	Time in years	
	$\Delta C_{BSL,TREE,t}$	Change in baseline carbon stock in above and belowground live trees (in metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> ) f	
	CBSL,TREE,t	Change in baseline value of carbon stored in a belowground live trees at the beginning of the metric tons $CO_2$ ) and $t-1$ signifies the value in year.	e year (in
	$\Delta C_{BSL,DEAD,t}$ =	$= (C_{BSL,DEAD,t} - C_{BSL,DEAD,t-1})$	(2)
	t	Time in years	
	ΔC <sub>BSL,DEAD,t</sub>	Change in baseline carbon stock stored in deametric tons $CO_2$ ) for year $t$ .	ad wood (in



	CBSL,DEAD,t	Change in baseline value of carbon stored in dead wood at the beginning of the year $t$ (in metric tons $CO_2$ ) and $t$ - $t$ 1 signifies the value in the prior year.	
	То		
	stocking le	ring equations are used to construct the baseline evels using models described in section 3.1 and wood calculations described in section 3.2:	
	ΔC <sub>BSL,TREE,t</sub> :	$= (C_{BSL,TREE,t} - C_{BSL,TREE,t-1}) $ (1)	
	Where:		
	t	Time in years	
	△CBSL,TREE,t	Change in baseline carbon stock in above and belowground live trees (in metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> ) for year <i>t</i> .	
	C <sub>BSL</sub> ,TREE,t	Baseline value of carbon stored in above and belowground live trees at the beginning of the year $t$ (in metric tons $CO_2$ ) and $t$ -1 signifies the value in the prior year.	
	△CBSL,DEAD,t	$= (C_{BSL,DEAD,t} - C_{BSL,DEAD,t-1}) $ (2)	
	t	Time in years	
	△CBSL,DEAD,t	Change in baseline carbon stock stored in dead wood (in metric tons $CO_2$ ) for year $\emph{t}$ .	in
	C <sub>BSL,DEAD,t</sub>	Baseline value of carbon stored in dead wood at the beginning of the year $t$ (in metric tons $CO_2$ ) and $t$ -1 signifies the value in the prior year.	
C3. Baseline Net Reductions and Removals / January 1, 2024	_	year global warming potential (in CO₂ per CH₄) for CH4 10 value of 21 per the Fourth Assessment Report) <sup>18</sup>	4
	GWP <sub>CH4</sub> . Glob	al warming potential of CH₄ as specified in the t version of the ACR Standard	



C3 Baseline Net Reductions and Removals / July 27, 2020	Prior to year T (T = year projected stocking reaches the long-term baseline average) the value of $\Delta C_{BSL,t}$ will most likely be negative for projects with initial stocking levels higher than $C_{BSL,AVE}$ or positive for projects with initial stocking levels lower than $C_{BSL,AVE}$ . If years elapsed since the start of the IFM project activity (t) is ≥T to compute long-term average stock change us $\Delta C_{BSL,t} = 0$		
	То		
	■ Prior to year T (T = year projected stocking reaches the long-term baseline average) the value of $\Delta C_{BSL,t}$ will most likely be negative for projects with initial stocking levels higher than $C_{BSL,AVE}$ or positive for projects with initial stocking levels lower than $C_{BSL,AVE}$ . If years elapsed since the start of the IFM project activity (t) is >T to compute long-term average stock change use: $\Delta C_{BSL,t} = 0$		
C3 Baseline Net Reductions and Removals / September 30, 2021	■ If the output for the tree is the volume, then this must be converted to biomass and carbon using equations in Section 3.1.1. If processing of alternative data on dead wood is necessary, equations in section 3.1.2 may be used. Where models do not predict dead wood dynamics, the baseline harvesting scenario may not decrease dead wood more than 50% through the Crediting Period.		
	То		
	■ If the output for the tree is the volume, then this must be converted to biomass and carbon using equations in Section 3.1.1. If processing of alternative data on dead wood is necessary, equations in section 3.1.2 may be used. Where models do not predict dead wood dynamics, the baseline harvesting scenario may not decrease dead wood more than 50% through the Crediting Period. If included, standing dead wood must be calculated using the same set of equations as live trees with adjustments for decay and structural loss.		
C3 Baseline Net Reductions and Removals / September 30, 2021	<ul> <li>Note: The FVS Fire and Fuels Extension volume-based default estimates of Live Carbon are compliant with the above, but do not include bark and stump components</li> </ul>		



	То			
	estimates not includ <b>Fuels Ext</b> e	FVS Fire and Fuels Extension volume-based of Live Carbon are compliant with the above bark and stump components. The FVS Firension Jenkins estimates of Live Carbon at with the above.	e, but do <b>e and</b>	
C3 Baseline Net Reductions	<ul> <li>Dead woo</li> </ul>	d included in the methodology comprises tv	VO.	
and Removals / August 17, 2021	componer	nts only – standing dead wood and lying dea ound dead wood is conservatively neglected	id wood.	
	То			
	componer Inclusion and wher	d included in the methodology comprises to hts – standing dead wood and lying dead wo of below-ground dead wood is considered e included must be quantified using the sa es as below-ground live with adjustments tural loss	ood. <b>optional</b> ame	
D5. Estimation of Project Emission Reductions or Enhanced Removals / July 27, 2020	annual can CO₂e). This determine report is s be compu The follow stocking le products o	<ul> <li>This section describes the steps required to calculate ΔC<sub>P,t</sub> (net annual carbon stock change under the project scenario; tons CO<sub>2</sub>e). This methodology requires 1) carbon stock levels to be determined in each time period, t, for which a valid verification report is submitted, and 2) the change in project carbon stock to be computed from the prior verification time period, t-1.</li> <li>The following equations are used to construct the project stocking levels using models described in section 3.1 and wood products calculations described in section 3.2:</li> </ul>		
	$\Delta C_{P,TREE,t} = (C_{P,TREE,t} - C_{P,TREE,t-1})$ Where:			
	vviici c.			
	t	Time in years		
	$\Delta C_{P,TREE,t}$	Change in project carbon stock in above and belowground live trees (in metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> ) f	or year <i>t</i> .	
	C <sub>P,TREE,t</sub>	Change in project value of carbon stored in al belowground live trees at the beginning of the		



	metric tons $CO_2$ ) and $t-1$ signifies the value in the pyear.	orior
$\Delta C_{P,DEAD,t}$ =	$(C_{P,DEAD,t} - C_{P,DEAD,t-1})$	(12)
t	Time in years	
$\Delta C_{P,DEAD,t}$	Change in project carbon stock (in metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> year <i>t</i> .	2) for
$C_{P,DEAD,t}$	Change in project value of carbon stored in dead we the beginning of the year $t$ (in metric tons $CO_2$ ) and signifies the value in the prior year.	
То		
(carbon st This meth determine report is s	on describes the steps required to calculate $\Delta C_{P,t}$ ock change under the project scenario; tons $CO_{2}$ 0 odology requires 1) carbon stock levels to be ed in each time period, $t$ , for which a valid verification ubmitted, and 2) the change in project carbon step ted from the prior verification time period, $t$ -1.	ntion
stocking le	ving equations are used to construct the project evels using models described in section 3.1 and valculations described in section 3.2:	vood
$\Delta C_{P,TREE,t} =$	$(C_{P,TREE,t} - C_{P,TREE,t-1})$	(11)
Where:		
t	Time in years	
$\Delta C_{P,TREE,t}$	Change in project carbon stock in above and belowground live trees (in metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> ) for ye	ar <i>t</i> .
Cp,tree,t	Project value of carbon stored in above and belowground live trees at the beginning of the yea metric tons $CO_2$ ) and $t-1$ signifies the value in the pyear.	•
$\Delta C_{P,DEAD,t} =$	$(C_{P,DEAD,t} - C_{P,DEAD,t-1})$	(12)
t	Time in years	
$\Delta C_{P,DEAD,t}$	Change in project carbon stock <b>stored in dead woo</b> metric tons $CO_2$ ) for year $t$ .	od (in



	$C_{P,DEAD,t}$ Project value of carbon stored in dead wood at the beginning of the year $t$ (in metric tons $CO_2$ ) and $t$ -1 signifies the value in the prior year.			
D5. Estimation of Project Emission Reductions or Enhanced Removals / January 1, 2024	Equation 13:  • GWP <sub>CH4</sub> 100-year global warming potential (in CO₂e per CH₄) for CH₄ (IPCC SAR-100 value of 21 per the Fourth Assessment Report) <sup>34</sup>			
	То			
	• <i>GWP<sub>CH4</sub></i> Global warming potential of CH <sub>4</sub> as specified in the then-current version of the ACR Standard			
D6. Monitoring of Activity- Shifting Leakage / July 27, 2020	There may be no leakage beyond de minimis levels through activity shifting to other lands owned, or under management control, by the timber rights owner.			
	If the project decreases wood product production by >5% relative to the baseline then the Project Proponent and all associated land owners must demonstrate that there is no leakage within their operations – i.e., on other lands they manage/operate outside the bounds of the ACR carbon project.			
	Such a demonstration must include one of the following:			
	<ul> <li>Historical records covering all Project Proponent ownership trends in harvest volumes paired with records from the with-project time period showing no deviation from historical trends over most recent 10-year average; or</li> <li>Forest management plans prepared ≥24 months prior to the start of the project showing harvest plans on all owned/managed lands paired with records from the with-project time period showing no deviation from management plans; or</li> <li>Entity-wide management certification that requires sustainable practices (programs can include FSC, SFI, or ATFS). Management certification must cover all entity owned lands with active timber management programs.</li> </ul>			
	То			



	<ul> <li>There may be no leakage beyond de minimis levels through activity shifting to other lands owned, or under management control, by the timber rights owner.</li> <li>If the project decreases wood product production by &gt;5% relative to the baseline then the Project Proponent and all associated land owners must demonstrate that there is no leakage within their operations – i.e., on other lands they manage/operate outside the bounds of the ACR carbon project. This demonstration is not applicable if Project Proponent and associated landowners enroll all of their forested landholdings, owned and under management control, within the ACR carbon project.</li> <li>Such a demonstration must include one or more of the following:         <ul> <li>Entity-wide management certification that requires sustainable practices (programs can include FSC, SFI, or ATFS). Management certification must cover all entity owned lands with active timber management programs;</li> <li>Adherence to an ACR approved long-term forest management plan or program as specified in section A.2;</li> <li>Forest management plans prepared ≥24 months prior to the start of the project showing harvest plans on all owned/managed lands paired with records from the with-project time period showing no deviation from management plans; or</li> <li>Historical records covering all Project Proponent ownership trends in harvest volumes paired with records from the with-project time period showing no deviation from historical trends over most recent 10-year average</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
F3. Calculation of Total Project Uncertainty / September 30, 2021	The following equation must be applied: $UNC_{t} = \frac{\sqrt{\left(\Delta C_{BSL,t} \cdot UNC_{BSL}\right)^{2} + \left(\Delta C_{P,t} \cdot UNC_{P,t}\right)^{2}}}{\Delta C_{BSL,t} + \Delta C_{P,t}}$ where:



	UNCt	Total project uncertainty in year t, in %	
	△C <sub>BSL,t</sub>	Change in the baseline carbon stock and GHG emissions (in metric tons $CO_2e$ ) for year $t$ . (Section C3)	
	UNC <sub>BSL</sub>	Baseline uncertainty, in % (Section C5)	
	$\Delta C_{P,t}$	Change in the project carbon stock and GHG emissions (in metric tons $CO_2e$ ) for year $t$ . (Section D5)	
	UNC <sub>P,t</sub>	With-project uncertainty in year t, in % (Section D8)	
	То		
	■ The follo	wing equation must be applied:	
		<u> </u>	
	$UNC_{t} = \frac{\sqrt{\left(\Delta C_{BSL,t} \cdot UNC_{BSL}\right)^{2} + \left(\Delta C_{P,t} \cdot UNC_{P,t}\right)^{2}}}{\Delta C_{BSL,t} + \Delta C_{P,t}} $ (19)		
	where:		
	UNCt	Total project uncertainty in year t, in %	
	△C <sub>BSL,t</sub>	<b>Absolute</b> Change in the baseline carbon stock and GHG emissions (in metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> e) for year t. (Section C3)	
	UNC <sub>BSL</sub>	Baseline uncertainty, in % (Section C5)	
	△C <sub>P,t</sub>	<b>Absolute</b> Change in the project carbon stock and GHG emissions (in metric tons $CO_2e$ ) for year $t$ . (Section D5)	
	UNC <sub>P,t</sub>	With-project uncertainty in year t, in % (Section D8)	
G. Calculation of ERTs / July 27, 2020	■ This section describes the process of determining additional annual net greenhouse gas emission reductions and Emission Reduction Tons (ERTs) issued for a time period for which a valid verification report has been filed with ACR. Annual net greenhouse gas emission reductions (C <sub>ACR,t</sub> ) are calculated using equation 20 by adjusting the difference between the project and baseline carbon stock changes for leakage and uncertainty then multiplying by a non-permanence buffer deduction.		
	$ERT_t = CA$	$ACR,t = (\Delta C_{P,t} - \Delta C_{BSL,t}) \cdot (1 - LK) \cdot (1 - UNCt) \cdot (1 - BUF) $ (20)	
	where:	<del>-</del> - /	



ERTt	Emission Reduction Tons with vintage year t.
CACR,t	Annual net greenhouse gas emission reductions (in metric tons $CO_2e$ ) at time $t$ .
$\Delta C_{P,t}$	Change in the project carbon stock and GHG emissions (in metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> e) for year <i>t</i> . (Section D5)
$\Delta C$ BSL, $t$	Change in the baseline carbon stock and GHG emissions (in metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> e) for year t. (Section C3)
LK	Leakage discount (Section D7)
UNC,t	Total Project Uncertainty, (in %) for year $t$ (Section F3). $UNC_t$ will be set to zero if the project meets ACR's precision requirement of within $\pm 10\%$ of the mean with 90% confidence. If the project does not meet this precision target, $UNCt$ should be the half-width of the confidence interval of calculated net GHG emission reductions.
BUF	The non-permanence buffer deduction as calculated in Section B5. BUF will be set to zero if an ACR approved insurance product is used.
То	
gas emis issued fo report ha reductio the diffe	cion describes the process of determining greenhouse sion reductions and Emission Reduction Tons (ERTs) or a <b>Reporting P</b> eriod for which a valid verification as been filed with ACR. <b>Total</b> greenhouse gas emission ns (C <sub>ACR,t</sub> ) are calculated using equation 20 by adjusting rence between the project and baseline carbon stock for leakage and uncertainty.
$ERT_{RP,t} = 0$	$C_{ACR,t} = (\Delta C_{P,t} - \Delta C_{BSL,t}) \cdot (1 - LK) \cdot (1 - UNC_t)$ (20)
where:	
$ERT_{RP,t}$	Total emission Reduction Tons in Reporting Period $t$ .
CACR,t	<b>Total g</b> reenhouse gas emission reductions (in metric tons) CO <sub>2</sub> e) at time $t$ .



$\Delta C_{P,t}$	Change in the project carbon stock an metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> e) for year <i>t</i> . (Section	· ·
$\Delta C$ BSL, $t$	Change in the baseline carbon stock a metric tons $CO_{2}e$ ) for year $t$ . (Section	
LK	Leakage discount (Section D7)	
UNC,t	Total Project Uncertainty, (in %) for year UNCt will be set to zero if the project of requirement of within ±10% of the met confidence. If the project does not met target, UNCt should be the half-width interval of calculated net GHG emission.	meets ACR's precision ean with 90% eet this precision of the confidence
Reporting P applying the and subtrac permanence will be depo	etage shall then be determined by eriod calendar days within vintage non-permanence buffer deductiting ERT's by vintage year from the buffer deduction (Equation 23). It is sisted by vintage, if this is the risk Project Proponent has chosen.	ge year t(21), on (Equation 22) he non- Buffer pool ERTs
$ERT_{VIN,t} = I$	$ERT_{RP,t} \cdot (CAL_t / RP_{CAL,t})$	(21)
where:		
ERT <sub>VIN,t</sub>	Total Emission Reduction Tons issued	d in vintage year t
$ERT_{RP,t}$	Total Emission Reduction Tons issued	l in RP t
CALt	Reporting Period calendar days withi	n vintage year <i>t</i>
RP <sub>CAL,t</sub>	Total calendar days within Reporting	Period t
$BUF_{VIN,t} = I$ where:	ERT <sub>VIN,±</sub> · BUF	(22)
BUF <sub>VIN,t</sub>	Buffer tons deducted in vintage year	r <i>t</i>
ERT <sub>VIN,t</sub>	Emission Reduction Tons issued in vi	ntage vear t



	BUF	The non-permanence buffer deduction calculated in Section B5. BUF will be se approved insurance product is used.	_
	ERT <sub>NETVIN,t</sub> =	ERT <sub>VIN,t</sub> – BUF <sub>VIN,t</sub>	(23)
	Where:		
	ERT <sub>VIN,t</sub> BUF <sub>VIN,t</sub>	Net Emission Reduction Tons issued in Buffer tons deducted in vintage year t	vintage year t
	DUFVIN,t	burier tons deducted in vintage year t	
G. Calculation of ERTs / April 12, 2022	Negative project stock change (C <sub>ACR,t</sub> ) before credit issuance is a negative balance of gree emissions. After the first offset issuance, negochange is a Reversal. AFOLU reversals must compensated following requirements detail Risk Mitigation Agreement and the Buffer Polyconditions, Exhibit 1 of the ACR Standard, Veroutlined in Exhibit 1, sequestration projects automatically if a Reversal causes project stabelow baseline levels prior to the end of the Term.		nouse gas tive project stock e reported and d in the Reversal l Terms and sion 5.0. As will terminate cks to decrease
	То		
	removal positive adjustin and unce the calcu emission calculate vintage Equation	ect Proponent may elect to calcula s (REM <sub>RP,t</sub> ) for a given reporting per ERT issuance. Removals are calcula g the with-project carbon stock chaertainty. Since removals may never ulation of removals must account for when they negatively contribute ed and generated, removals must by years following the procedure outlins 21, 22, and 23.	iod with a ated by ange for leakage rexceed ERTs, or baseline to total ERTs. If he allocated to ined in
	If [ΔC BSL,t	$\leq 0$ ] then REM <sub>RP,t</sub> = $\Delta C_{P,t} \cdot (1 - LK) \cdot$	(1-UNCt)  (24)



Version 1.3

if [ $\Delta C_{BSL,t}$	> 0] then REM <sub>RP,t</sub> = $(\Delta C_{P,t} - \Delta C_{BSL,t}) \cdot (1 - LK) \cdot (1 - UNC_t)$
where:	
REM <sub>RP,t</sub>	Total removals in reporting period t
$\Delta C_{P,t}$	Change in the project carbon stock and GHG emissions (in metric tons CO2e) for year <i>t</i> . (Section D5)
$\Delta C$ BSL, $t$	Change in the baseline carbon stock and GHG emissions (in metric tons CO <sub>2</sub> e) for year t. (Section C3)
LK	Leakage discount (Section D7)
UNC,t	Total Project Uncertainty, (in %) for year $t$ (Section F3). $UNC_t$ will be set to zero if the project meets ACR's precision requirement of within $\pm 10\%$ of the mean with 90% confidence. If the project does not meet this precision target, $UNCt$ should be the half-width of the confidence interval of calculated net GHG emission reductions.
issuance is a the first offse Reversal. AFG following red Agreement a the ACR Stan sequestratio causes proje	iject stock change ( $C_{ACR,t}$ ) before the first offset credit negative balance of greenhouse gas emissions. After et issuance, negative project stock change is a DLU reversals must be reported and compensated quirements detailed in the Reversal Risk Mitigation nd the Buffer Pool Terms and Conditions, Exhibit 1 of dard, Version 5.0. As outlined in Exhibit 1, n projects will terminate automatically if a Reversal ct stocks to decrease below baseline levels prior to the inimum Project Term.

#### 1.2 Clarifications (In bold)

Section Reference / Effective date	Clarifications
N/A; / July 27, 2020	<ul> <li>All references to "American Carbon Registry Standard, Version 5.0" shall pertain to the version of the American Carbon Registry Standard effective at project listing/crediting period renewal and requirements set out therein.</li> </ul>



A1. Scope and Definitions / July 27, 2020	<ul> <li>A definition for "Reporting Period" has been added to the methodology (below). Project scenario carbon stock changes, harvested wood products, uncertainty and ERT's are quantified on a Reporting Period basis. Equations 11, 12, 13, 14, 18, 19 and 20 use the terms "year" and "Reporting Period" synonymously. Equation 21 partitions ERT's to a vintage year (corresponding to the calendar year the emissions reductions/removals occurred) basis for Registry reporting.</li> <li>Reporting Period: The period of time covering a GHG assertion for a single verification and subsequent request for ERT issuance.</li> </ul>
A1. Scope and Definitions / July 27, 2020	<ul> <li>A definition for "Commercial Harvesting" has been added to the methodology (below).</li> <li>Commercial Harvesting: Any type of harvest producing merchantable material at least equal to the value of the direct costs of harvesting. Harvesting of dead, dying or threatened trees is specifically excluded where a signed attestation from a registered professional forester in the relevant jurisdiction) is obtained (Society of American Foresters or Association of Consulting Foresters certification sufficient in states without professional forester requirements) confirming the harvests are in direct response to isolated forest health (insect/disease) or natural disaster event(s) that are not part of a long-term harvest regime.</li> </ul>
C.3.1 Stocking Level Projections in the Baseline / July 27, 2020	<ul> <li>Section C.3.1 states "If the output for the tree is volume, then this must be converted to biomass and carbon using equations in Section 3.1.1". ACR would like to clarify as a footnote that the steps prescribed in Section 3.1.1 are not relevant where models output projected total aboveground and belowground biomass or carbon.</li> </ul>