



Proposal for Modifications to American Carbon Registry methodology "Improved Forest Management Methodology for Quantifying Removals and Emission Reductions through Increased Forest Carbon Sequestration on Non-Federal U.S. Forestlands, Version 2.0"

The following is a summary of significant changes to the methodology "Improved Forest Management Methodology for Quantifying Removals and Emission Reductions through Increased Forest Carbon Sequestration on Non-Federal U.S. Forestlands" from v1.3 published April 2018 to v2.0 posted for public comment September 2021.

Topic	Revision	Section
Definitions	Added definitions for the following terms: Commercial Harvesting, Market Leakage, Professional Forester, Project Proponent, Reporting Period, Reversal, Start Date, and Working Forest.	Acronyms and Definitions
Applicability Conditions	Clarified that land owned in-fee by the U.S. federal government is eligible when full control of timber and carbon rights is held by a non-federal entity for the entirety of the ACR minimum project term.	1.2
Applicability Conditions	Updated to allow approved long-term forest management plans and programs to fulfill the sustainable management requirement.	1.2
Pools and Sources	Removed requirement that standing dead wood be included in unmanaged forests. Standing dead wood is now fully optional.	1.3
Pools and Sources	Added belowground standing dead wood pool.	1.3
Methodology Summary	Removed language pertinent to baseline development and added the removed language to section 4.1.	1.4
Project Temporal Boundary	Added events that may denote project start date (from ACR Standard), including new events: land acquisition or easement enrollment date, and date of corporate or board resolution.	2.3
Project Temporal Boundary	Added validation time frame (from ACR Standard).	2.3
Stratification	Consolidated baseline and project stratification sections into single section.	3

Identification of Baseline Identification of Baseline Identification of Baseline Added clarifying language regarding discount rate assignment is based on and throughout document). Added clarifying language regarding discount rate assignment as a method and among multiple ownership types.			
Identification of Baseline Added clarifying language regarding discount rate assignment as a method and among multiple ownership types.		timber ownership rather than land ownership (section 4.1	4.1
Identification of Baseline Clarified that discount rate associated with previous owner may be used when the start date occurs within 1 year of land acquisition. Identification of Baseline Added requirement for voluntary best management practices to be included as baseline constraints. Identification of Baseline Added requirements for NGOs to demonstrate applicability of NPV maximizing baseline and to include long-term management objectives as baseline constraints. Identification of Baseline Added a requirement for the baseline scenario harvesting to not exceed regional mill capacity. Provided pathway for demonstrating feasibility of mill expansion over time. Identification of Baseline Added a requirement that the baseline scenario be plausible given fundamental institutional barriers. Identification of Baseline Net Replaced Equation 4's reference to the IPCC's Fourth Assessment Report with reference to the Baseline Standard. Assessment Report with reference to the Baseline Net Reductions and Removals Changed Equation 5 to consider the stocks in years 0 through 20, divided by 21, and removed the twenty-year average baseline HWP value to credit the difference between the initial on-site stocks.		Added clarifying language regarding discount rate assignment as a method and among multiple ownership	4.1
Baseline practices to be included as baseline constraints.		Clarified that discount rate associated with previous owner may be used when the start date occurs within 1 year of	4.1
Identification of Baseline		,	4.1
Baseline		Added requirements for NGOs to demonstrate applicability of NPV maximizing baseline and to include long-term	4.1
Baseline plausible given fundamental institutional barriers. Baseline Net Replaced Equation 4's reference to the IPCC's Fourth Reductions and Assessment Report with reference to the assessment Removals report version specified in the applicable ACR Standard. Baseline Net Changed Equation 5 to consider the stocks in years 0 Reductions and through 20, divided by 21, and removed the twenty-year average baseline HWP value to credit the difference between the initial on-site stocks and the long-term baseline average on-site stocks. Baseline Net Added Equations 6 and 7 for determining when t = T. 4.2 Reductions and Removals Removed the twenty-year average baseline HWP and GHG values from Equation 8. These values are now directly accounted for in Equation 24. 4.2 Baseline Net Added Equation 9 for calculating the baseline carbon stock change in year T. Similarly, clarified that Equation 10 is only used after year T. 4.2 Stocking Level Projections in the Baseline Specified that the baseline scenario must be modeled over a 100-year period. 4.2.1 Stocking Level Projections in the Baseline Specified that standing dead wood must use the same biomass estimation technique as live trees. 4.2.1 Tree Carbon Stock Calculation Changed name of Sampling Plan to inventory SOP document. Added specific required elements. 4.2		to not exceed regional mill capacity. Provided pathway for	4.1
Reductions and Removals Baseline Net Reductions and Removals Removals Removals Changed Equation 5 to consider the stocks in years 0 through 20, divided by 21, and removed the twenty-year average baseline HWP value to credit the difference between the initial on-site stocks. Baseline Net Reductions and Removals Baseline Net Reduction 9 for calculating the baseline carbon stock change in year T. Similarly, clarified that Equation 10 is only used after year T. Stocking Level Projections in the Baseline Stocking Level Projections in the Baseline Tree Carbon Stock Calculation Tree Carbon Stock Calculation Tree Carbon Stock Calculation Tree Carbon Stock Calculation Biomass Estimation Assessment Report with reference to the aspelic in the happlication to the vacchistic in the pasteline in the		· ·	4.1
Changed Equation 5 to consider the stocks in years 0 through 20, divided by 21, and removed the twenty-year average baseline HWP value to credit the difference between the initial on-site stocks and the long-term baseline average on-site stocks. Baseline Net Reductions and Removals Removed the twenty-year average baseline HWP and Reductions and Removals Removed the twenty-year average baseline HWP and Reductions and Removals Removed the twenty-year average baseline HWP and GHG values from Equation 8. These values are now directly accounted for in Equation 24.	Reductions and	Assessment Report with reference to the assessment	4.2
Baseline Net Reductions and Removals Removed the twenty-year average baseline HWP and GHG values from Equation 8. These values are now directly accounted for in Equation 24.	Reductions and	Changed Equation 5 to consider the stocks in years 0 through 20, divided by 21, and removed the twenty-year average baseline HWP value to credit the difference between the initial on-site stocks and the long-term	4.2
Reductions and Removals Baseline Net Reductions and Removals Added Equation 9 for calculating the baseline carbon stock change in year T. Similarly, clarified that Equation 10 is only used after year T. Stocking Level Projections in the Baseline Stocking Level Projections in the Baseline Tree Carbon Stock Calculation Added three discrete options for estimating biomass: the Jenkins et al. (2003) method, the volume-based biomass	Reductions and		4.2
Reductions and Removals Stocking Level Projections in the Baseline Changed that standing dead wood must use the same biomass estimation technique as live trees. Tree Carbon Stock Calculation Clarified that standing dead wood must use the same biomass estimation technique as live trees. Changed name of Sampling Plan to inventory SOP document. Added specific required elements. Clarified that defects affecting carbon (not just carbon Stock Calculation Clarified that defects affecting carbon (not just merchantability) should be recorded as cull data. Biomass Estimation Added three discrete options for estimating biomass: the Jenkins et al. (2003) method, the volume-based biomass	Reductions and	GHG values from Equation 8. These values are now	4.2
Projections in the Baseline Stocking Level Projections in the Baseline Stocking Level Projections in the Baseline Tree Carbon Stock Calculation Tree Carbon Stock Clarified that defects affecting carbon (not just merchantability) should be recorded as cull data. Biomass Estimation Biomass estimation dead wood must use the same biomass estimation technique as live trees. 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.2 4.2.2 4.2.2 4.2.2 4.2.2 4.2.2 4.2.2 4.2.2	Reductions and	change in year T. Similarly, clarified that Equation 10 is only used after year T.	4.2
Projections in the Baseline Tree Carbon Stock Calculation Clarified that defects affecting carbon (not just merchantability) should be recorded as cull data. Biomass Estimation biomass estimation technique as live trees. Changed name of Sampling Plan to inventory SOP documents. Calculation Clarified that defects affecting carbon (not just merchantability) should be recorded as cull data. Added three discrete options for estimating biomass: the Jenkins et al. (2003) method, the volume-based biomass	Projections in the Baseline	a 100-year period.	
Calculationdocument. Added specific required elements.Tree Carbon Stock CalculationClarified that defects affecting carbon (not just merchantability) should be recorded as cull data.4.2.2Biomass EstimationAdded three discrete options for estimating biomass: the Jenkins et al. (2003) method, the volume-based biomass4.2.2.1	Projections in the	·	4.2.1
Tree Carbon Stock Calculation Clarified that defects affecting carbon (not just merchantability) should be recorded as cull data. Biomass Estimation Added three discrete options for estimating biomass: the Jenkins et al. (2003) method, the volume-based biomass		, ,	4.2.2
Jenkins et al. (2003) method, the volume-based biomass		Clarified that defects affecting carbon (not just	4.2.2
algorithms of FVOT he and Fdels Extension, and the	Biomass Estimation	·	4.2.2.1

		T
	geographically specific method employed by USDA FIA	
Otaradia a Danad Manad	and the California ARB offset program.	4004
Standing Dead Wood	Replaced former decay classification system with the	4.2.3.1
	standardized decay classification system of the USDA FIA	
0, 1, 5, 1,4,	program for all projects.	1001
Standing Dead Wood	Required that decay and structural loss are assessed on	4.2.3.1
	dead trees of all projects. Provided steps for applying	
	decay and structural loss based on biomass estimate	
	technique employed.	
Monitoring	Clarified that validated baselines are fixed for the entire	4.3
Requirements for	crediting period.	
Baseline Renewal		
Monitoring	Clarified that easements put in place within one year of the	4.3
Requirements for	project start date are not considered constraints for	
Baseline Renewal	baseline renewal.	
Estimation of Baseline	Updated Equations 13 and 21 to calculate the weighted	4.4; 5.7
Uncertainty; Estimation	average error of each pool.	
of With-Project		
Uncertainty		
Monitoring Project	Removed requirement for the reporting deviations from	5.1
Implementation	forest management plan as written. Clarified that harvest	
	records must be provided for verification.	
Estimation of Project	Clarified that reductions in carbon stocks due to harvests	5.4
Emissions Reductions	or disturbances must be accounted in Equations 14 and	
or Enhanced Removals	15.	
Estimation of Project	Replaced Equation 16's reference to the IPCC's Fourth	5.4
Emissions Reductions	Assessment Report with reference to the assessment	
or Enhanced Removals	report version specified in the applicable ACR Standard.	
Estimation of Project	Removed project HWP and GHG values from Equation 17.	5.4
Emissions Reductions	These values are now directly accounted for in Equation	
or Enhanced Removals	24.	
Monitoring of Activity-	Clarified that the demonstration is not applicable if the	5.5
Shifting Leakage	participating entity enrolls all their forested landholdings	
	(owned and managed) within the carbon project.	
Monitoring of Activity-	Added method for demonstration: adherence to an	5.5
Shifting Leakage	approved long-term management plan or program.	
Monitoring of Activity-	Added method for demonstration: verifiable evidence of no	5.5
Shifting Leakage	harvesting in a given reporting period for all lands owned	
	or managed by participating entities.	
Estimation of	Changed maximum default market leakage discount factor	5.6
Emissions due to	to 30% in association with a modified baseline accounting	
Market Leakage	framework, allowing for a more direct comparison of	
	leakage deduction to literature-base.	
Validation and	Added description of existing requirements for validation	7.3
Verification	and verification, including timing and intervals.	
Validation and	Added requirements for resampling during site visits.	7.3
Verification	,	
Calculation of Total	Updated Equation 22 to calculate the weighted average	7.4
Project Uncertainty and	error of each scenario and to use the absolute values of	
Uncertainty Deduction	each scenario's carbon stock change.	
	ı	<u> </u>

Calculation of Total Project Uncertainty and Uncertainty Deduction	Added Equation 23 to make the uncertainty deduction equal to the error exceeding ACR's statistical precision threshold.	7.4
Calculations of ERTs	Clarified language to consistently use the terms "total", "net", and "reporting period".	8
Calculations of ERTs	Revised Equation 24 in association with Equation 8 and Equation 17 updates.	8
Calculations of ERTs	Added Equations 25 and 26 to calculate buffer and net ERTs.	8
Calculations of ERTs	Added Equations 27, 28, and 29 to calculate total ERTs, net ERTs, and buffer pool contributions by vintage.	8
Calculation of ERTs	Clarified language regarding project termination due to reversals.	8